

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [Haylee Hallema](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Signum Wireless proposed telecommunication tower at 525 Scenic Drive, St George  
**Date:** Friday, December 8, 2023 3:19:28 PM

---

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Hi Haylee,

I am a resident at 510 Scenic drive and I attended the Public meeting yesterday with regard to the proposed telecommunications tower at 525 Scenic Drive.

I am writing to express my concerns that this location has been proposed to have a telecommunication tower installed. During the public meeting there were many concerns and issues raised that this tower will be installed in a residential area with homes surrounding the proposed location.

**Selection of new tower location:**

It was raised during the meeting that residential properties are within less than 100 meters from the proposed tower location. The council should not approve a telecommunication tower be built within this distance of residential properties and the County provides guidelines that this is strongly discouraged build a tower within 120 meters of a neighbourhood.

During the public meeting it was communicated that Signum Wireless approaches property owners to see who will agree to have a tower built on their property and will just go property by property until an owner agrees. This is not reasonable justification for a planning authority to approve a new site location. Unfortunately, the selected site is owned by the County of Brant and will receive payment for leasing a section of that property to build a tower. This is not in the interest of the community but the financial interest of the wireless providers and the County of Brant.

**Sufficient telecommunication coverage in area:**

It was raised by a number of residents that they have sufficient coverage in the area and the claim by Signum Wireless that there is overall poor coverage in the area is not supported. I personally do not have issues with cellular coverage in the area. I understand that the nearest telecommunication tower is located 4km away. What is the evidence that the existing tower is not providing sufficient coverage for the area? The response from the Signum Wireless representative that as demand increases, the coverage of existing towers can no longer support the demand is not reasonable because this area is not high density residential. The representative for Signum Wireless indicated that in the City of Toronto there are many telecommunication towers in residential neighborhoods. In that case, the demand rationale would be reasonable but the reason we purchased a home in this area is because the location offers lower density residential properties.

**Safety:**

One of the key concerns of the telecommunication tower that is proposed to be built in our residential neighbourhood is safety for the community. In addition to health concerns, it is a safety concern that the tower will need to include identification and signs regarding the safe operation of the equipment. This will pose a potential danger for children and is why any community would be concerned that this type of equipment would be built in a residential neighbourhood.

**Aesthetic of the area will be negatively impacted by building a telecommunication tower:**

This tower will have a negative impact on the aesthetic of the neighborhood. There has been no consideration to the impact to the surrounding location which is almost exclusively residences in a natural setting. The main reason residents have chosen to live here is for the appreciation of the setting and this tower would negatively impact that aesthetic.

I can be reached at [REDACTED] if you require additional information.

Thank you  
-Adrienne Collu

---

This email and any attachments may be confidential or proprietary. Any review, use, disclosure, distribution or copying of this email is prohibited except by or on behalf of the intended recipient. If you received this message in error or are not the intended recipient, please delete or destroy the email message and any attachments or copies and notify the sender of the erroneous delivery by return email. To the extent that this message or its attachments were sent without encryption, we cannot guarantee that the contents have not been changed or tampered with. Any advice expressed in this message is being delivered to you solely for your use in connection with the matters addressed herein and may not be used for any other purpose without our prior written consent.

Le présent courriel et toute pièce jointe peuvent contenir des renseignements confidentiels ou en propriété exclusive. Toute consultation, utilisation, divulgation, distribution ou copie de ce courriel est interdite, sauf par les destinataires visés ou les personnes autorisées à agir en leur nom. Si vous recevez ce courriel par erreur ou si vous n'êtes pas le destinataire visé, cliquez sur le bouton Répondre pour aviser l'expéditeur qu'il vous a transmis ce courriel par erreur, puis supprimez le courriel original ainsi que toute pièce jointe et copie électronique. Dans la mesure où ce courriel ou toute pièce jointe n'est pas crypté, nous ne pouvons pas garantir que son contenu initial n'a pas été modifié ou falsifié. Tout conseil formulé dans le présent courriel vous est uniquement adressé eu égard aux sujets qui y sont abordés et ne peut servir à toute autre fin sans que nous l'ayons autorisé par écrit au préalable.