

File Number ZBA20-22-DN

Attention: Dan Namisnak

Please present to council on June 7<sup>th</sup> 2022.

Thank you for the opportunity to present our concerns and questions to you. My name is Bob Stripe, I reside at 34 Garden Crescent, in Paris.

This presentation also expresses the concerns of six other families on Garden Crescent who live in close proximity to Olymel.

Source of Information:

Acoustic Assessment Report Zoning By-Law Amendment Application by Woods Environment, April 8, 2022. Project #OAV2108A

There are currently 16 existing noise sources in the Olymel plant. The proposed expansion will add another 14 noise sources.

We have gone from relatively quiet evenings (pre-Pinty's/Olymel) to a constant 24/7 hum of noise and odour post Pinty's/Olymel. Now there will be 14 new sources of noise for a total of 30 sources with a maximum of 80 DBA. Please Google how loud 80 DBA is.

It is also concerning that Olymel has 2-4 years to implement sound mitigation. Who is going to monitor this?

Information Source: Planning Justification Report, Olymel Paris Expansion, Zoning By-Law Amendment Application May 2022

On a development concept picture there is a noise mitigation wall to the south that extends the length of the existing building. Why does it not extend to encompass the proposed expansion of the building as well?

We have to have a guarantee that a treed berm be installed and maintained the entire length of the property as stated in the Official plan, Section 2.7.8.

We are concerned about parking at the rear of the building. Past experience had people sitting in their vehicles on breaks listening to music at sound levels the residents could hear from their homes. The sounds and lights of the cars also caused disruptions at shift changes.

Olymel is identified as a class 11 company. The report indicates there should be a 70-meter separation distance. We have 43 meters. How did this happen? This measurement is from Olymel to our fence line.

As stated in the report on page 21 in some instances there is only 50 meters from Olymel's back wall to the walls of our homes. This is totally unacceptable.

Official Plan Section 6.9.B1 Expansion shall not unduly aggravate the situation. Olymel is already aggravating and expansion will further exacerbate the noise and odour issues.

Official Plan Section 6.9.B11 Proposed extension shall be minor in nature.

According to the development concept Diagram the existing building is 3,893 m<sup>2</sup>. The expansion is 2906 m<sup>2</sup>. Does the county consider this minor? It is a 75% increase in size. The existing plant is already double the size of the first plant on the property. It was supposed to be a one and a half story building. The county did not monitor or enforce the original development plan for this business.

There are several other sections in the official plan that suggest to us that this amendment in the by law is really a stretch and very creative interpretation.

( i.e.: 11.3.2)

c)The legally existing use is an appropriate and compatible use for such lands and its continuation, enlargement, or extension will not have a detrimental impact on the surrounding land uses; and

d) Any extension or enlargement to an existing building, structure or use will only be permitted in accordance with the policies of this Plan and the implementing Zoning By-Law. An expansion of a legally existing use will not be permitted onto adjoining lands.

We would also request a review and update of the Noise By-Law to reflect the needs of the community. We should not be exposed to, for example; construction and the use of power and hand tools after 8:00pm at night on any day.

We want Olymel to be a successful company with all the things that come with that success. We do not want it to be at our expense. We wish to enjoy our Homes, Gardens, Backyards, Neighbours and Families, and sadly enough there are days when that is not possible.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Sincerely,

Bob Stripe

The Garden Crescent Group