

PS 1-19 DN

Stonehenge Subdivision

Neil and Christine Gatward

171 Oakland Rd. Scotland (Oakland) Ontario

Comments and Concerns:

We have concerns about the quality and quantity of water which will be available to us once the development is completed. We do not know what hydrological testing has been performed, what the results were, if there will be any baseline testing done on neighbouring wells outside of the development and who will be responsible if the quantity or quality of water is adversely affected in the future. There are also agricultural irrigation ponds which are likely dependent on the same aquifer.

We are concerned that this development will not allow me to access my backyard. It will limit my ability to maintain my house, septic system and well. We are prepared to work with the proponent to arrive at a mutually agreeable solution.

Our property has the lowest elevation of any in the perimeter of this development. For us an effective drainage system to control the runoff from 22 houses, driveways roofs and a street which does not currently exist is paramount.

We are interested in the comments and recommendations of the GRCA relative to the protected wetland at the south of the subdivision.

We want to ensure that any archeological testing that needs performed is completed.

ZBA5-19DN

Neil and Christine Gatward

171 Oakland Rd. Scotland (Oakland) Ontario

Comments and Concerns:

We have concerns relative to the residential zoning designation being applied to the entire property. The Stonehenge Subdivision (planning application PS1-19-DN) encompasses only the portion of subject property which is in the Urban Settlement Area. The balance of the property being rezoned is outside of the settlement area. If these lands are rezoned from "A" to "R" it allows less protection to the residences and the farming operations on the adjoining properties in the future.

We understood that provincial places to grow guidelines states that developments should be limited to Urban Settlement Areas. We are also seeking a commitment from the County of Brant that the settlement area will not be increased to the West without public consultation which would take into account the existing residential properties and the agricultural viability of the properties in the proximity.