

Dan Namisniak

From: Kathy McClelland <kathy_mc5@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 11, 2019 9:24 PM
To: Dan Namisniak
Subject: Fwd: PS1-19-DN & ZBA5-19-DN

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: Kathy McClelland <kathy_mc5@yahoo.com>
Date: June 11, 2019 at 9:20:02 PM EDT
To: dan.namisniak@brant.cs
Cc: kathy_mc@yahoo.com, terry mccllland <mcclan@rogers.com>
Subject: PS1-19-DN & ZBA5-19-DN

Concerns for proposed revisions for Arthur/Stonehenge Acres Subdivision.

We (Terry and Kathy McClelland) have resided at 4 Bannister St. for 30 years and would like to express our concerns with the proposed changes to access to this subdivision.

We missed the first meeting and would like to know why there will be no access to this subdivision from Oakland Rd. as the first plan indicated.

By changing access to the subdivision down Bannister St. to Yarek St. you are now allowing a new volume of a minimum of 44 cars (2 cars per household x 22 lots) to access the subdivision by Bannister St. and Malcolm St. only. Adding that to the current volume using Bannister St is scary.

Your concerns for pedestrian safety we are not sure we understand. It will be very safe for the new subdivision, with a quiet culded sac, but not safe for Bannister St. or Malcolm St. Residents walk on Bannister St. and Malcolm St. all the time.

Bannister St. is accessible from Oakland Rd. as are a few other streets within the Village. The way it should be for the new subdivision.

This subdivision is very large and should be accessed by Oakland Rd. not by Bannister St. via Yarek St.

There are plenty of young children on Bannister St. including our grandchildren and I'm sure all residents are concerned for their family's safety. We already have enough cars using Bannister St. as a raceway.

Access from Oakland Rd. will alleviate safety concerns for our subdivision.

This access needs to go back to the original plan of access off Oakland Rd. where the NEW

traffic volume will impact that subdivision not our existing subdivision.

We are not opposed to change and progress in any way. We just want what's best for the residents that this will affect the most.

If we are wrong in the way we are understanding the plans please correct us.

Thanks

Terry and Kathy McClelland.

Sent from my iPhone